

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/565,758	04/18/2006	Joseph Noblett	5955300021	3003	
32294 7596 11/24/2099 SQUIRE, SANDERS & DENPISEY LL.P. 8000 TOWERS CRESCENT DRIVE 14TH FLOOR VIENNA, VA 22182-6212			EXAMINER		
			NGUYEN, TRINH T		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3644		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			11/24/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/565,758	NOBLETT, JOSE	PH	
Examiner	Art Unit		
Trinh T. Nguyen	3644		

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS,

- WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.
- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed
- after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).

 Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any
- earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Ctat.			

	Trademark Office Rev. 08-06) Office Action Summary Part of Paper No./Mail Date 20091122				
2) Noti 3) Infor Papi	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper Nots/Mail Date 5) Netter of Informal Patert Application 6) Other:				
Attachmer	nt(s)				
*:	See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.				
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No				
,	Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.				
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 0.5.C. § 119(a)(d) or (f). ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:				
-	under 35 U.S.C. § 119 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
-	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.				
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
Applicat	ion Papers				
8)[_]	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.				
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
	Claim(s) 1.5.17.19 is/are rejected.				
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1.5.17 and 19 is/are pending in the application.				
Disposit	ion of Claims				
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.				
لصا(ا	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Amena, dated 6/30/09.				

Art Unit: 3644

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior at are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 1,5,17,19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Graves (US 5482245).

For claim 1, Graves discloses a tree stand comprising a fluid reservoir (12) about a tree retaining member (10) including tree gripping means (76), wherein the tree gripping means comprise projections (76):

wherein the fluid reservoir (12) comprises a cylindrical or frustoconical member being closed at one end thereof by a base (note that reservoir 12 is a container and therefore it can be used as a fluid reservoir), wherein the tree retaining member is connected to the base (note that the tree retaining member is connected to the base of the reservoir when the tree retaining member is inserted within the reservoir);

wherein the tree retaining member (10) comprises a substantially cylindrical hollow member and the tree gripping means comprising a plurality of projections (88) arranged on the interior surface of the tree retaining member;

wherein the projections (88) run substantially axially with the tree retaining member and include a sharp or pointed portion arranged in use to at least partially penetrate the trunk of a tree inserted into the tree retaining member (see Figure 6).

Art Unit: 3644

Graves teaches most of the claimed invention except for mentioning that the tree retaining member is adapted to retain a tree inserted therein without using any moveable mechanical means in the form of screws or bolts by resisting lifting of a tree inserted therein relative to the tree stand

However, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the tree retaining member of Graves so as without using any moveable mechanical means in the form of screws or bolts, since it has been held that omission of an element and its function in a combination where the remaining elements perform the same functions as before involves only routine skill in the art (i.e. note that the projections 88, only, are adapted to retain a tree inserted within the tree retaining member 10 without using screw members 76 and that screw members 76 are used to further provide additional supports for the tree inserted within the tree retaining member 10).

For claim 5, Graves further disclose the tree retaining member (10) does not substantially protrude from the fluid reservoir (12) (see Figure 1).

For claim 17, Graves further disclose the projections are arranged to maintain a degree of separation between a tree trunk inserted into the tree stand, and portions of the interior surface of the tree retaining member (see Figure 6).

For claim 19, Graves further disclose wherein the fluid reservoir (12) and tree retaining member (10) are in fluid communication (note that member 10 has a bottom member 80 wherein the bottom member 80 includes an opening in the center, where

Art Unit: 3644

members 88 are meet, in which causes member 10 to be in fluid communication with reservoir 12 when member 10 is inserted within reservoir 12: see Figures 5 and 6).

Response to Arguments

 Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1,5,17, and 19 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

 Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Trinh T. Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-6906. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (1:30 P.M to 10:00 P.M).

Art Unit: 3644

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Mansen can be reached on (571) 272-6608. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Trinh T Nguyen/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3644 11/22/09